

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/729,177	GUDURU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ramnandan Singh	2614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ramnandan Singh, Examiner. (3)_____.

(2) Ramin Aqhevli, Attorney for Applicant. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 25 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 11 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

- Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant has agreed to the following amendment:

1) In the Drawings:

In Figure 4, steps 425 and 435 have been amended replacing "sufficiently high" with "predetermined value". A marked-up copy is enclosed.

2) In the specification:

(i) Paragraph 0024, line # 5, change "sufficiently high" to-a predetermined value.

(ii) Paragraph 0026, lines # 4-5, change "sufficiently high" to- predetermined values.

3) In the claims:

(i) Claim 1, lines # 12-13 , change "being sufficiently high" to -exceeding a predetermined value-- .

(ii) Claim 2, lines # 6-7, change " being sufficiently high" to - exceeding a predetermined value-- .

(iii) Claim 11, line # 14, change " being sufficiently high" to - exceeding a predetermined value-- .

(iv) Claim 21, lines # 18-19, change " being sufficiently high" to - exceeding a predetermined value-- ..

MARKED-UP COPY




FIG. 4